Assessing the benefits of napping and short rest breaks on processing speed in sleep-restricted adolescents
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SUMMARY
Achievement-oriented adolescents often study long hours under conditions of chronic sleep restriction, adversely affecting cognitive function. Here, we studied how napping and rest breaks (interleaved off-task periods) might ameliorate the negative effects of sleep restriction on processing speed. Fifty-seven healthy adolescents (26 female, age = 15–19 years) participated in a 15-day live-in protocol. All participants underwent sleep restriction (5 h time-in-bed), but were then randomized into two groups: one of these groups received a daily 1-h nap opportunity. Data from seven of the study days (sleep restriction days 1–5, and recovery days 1–2) are reported here. The Blocked Symbol Decoding Test, administered once a day, was used to assess time-on-task effects and the effects of rest breaks on processing speed. Controlling for baseline differences, participants who took a nap demonstrated faster speed of processing and greater benefit across testing sessions from practice. These participants were also affected significantly less by time-on-task effects. In contrast, participants who did not receive a nap benefited more from the rest breaks that were permitted between blocks of the test. Our results indicate that napping partially reverses the detrimental effects of sleep restriction on processing speed. However, rest breaks have a greater effect as a countermeasure against poor performance when sleep pressure is higher. These data add to the growing body of evidence showing the importance of sleep for good cognitive functioning in adolescents, and suggest that more frequent rest breaks might be important in situations where sleep loss is unavoidable.

INTRODUCTION
Levels of cognitive performance vary over time, and these dynamics are affected by a number of interacting factors. Among these are time-on-task (TOT; the duration of time spent on continuous performance), circadian and homeostatic influences on alertness, and time spent on off-task activity (i.e. taking a rest break). Although much is known about the impact of these variables individually, many open questions remain about how they interact to determine behaviour, information that could be valuable in calculating the optimal length and timing of work bouts.

Processing speed and sleep restriction
Processing speed is one of the cognitive domains in which fluctuating performance levels are commonly observed. In adults, cognitive throughput is lower following periods of total sleep deprivation (SD; Lim and Dinges, 2010) and partial sleep restriction (SR) (Banks et al., 2010). Recently, we demonstrated that processing speed is also susceptible to TOT effects, even over relatively short periods of work, but that rest can partially restore performance following this decrement (Lim et al., 2016).

As speed of processing is a contributor to full-scale IQ (Benson et al., 2010) and an important factor in effective work performance, understanding its temporal dynamics is more than just a theoretical exercise. Efficient speed of processing is particularly crucial for school-going adolescents who need to manage high cognitive throughput in academic settings. Poor processing speed adversely affects creativity and intelligence, which in turn has a negative impact on school performance (Rindermann and Neubauer, 2004). While early studies failed to find an effect of SR on
processing speed in adolescents (Carskadon et al., 1981; Randazzo et al., 1998), more recent work (including our own) has been able to demonstrate a significant impact on this function (Lo et al., 2015; Louca and Short, 2014).

The studies discussed above describe a logical path connecting sleep reduction to poorer school performance via decrements in processing speed. Underscoring the relevance of these findings are statistics about the worrying levels of sleep curtailment experienced by contemporary adolescents. In competitive East Asian societies, school-going adolescents sleep on average 1–2 h less than their counterparts in Western countries (Gradisar et al., 2011; Olids et al., 2010). There are numerous reasons why adolescents get less sleep than necessary in modern society, including early school start times, social influences, high homework load, and increased use of light-emitting electronic devices in the evening (Carskadon, 2011).

Countermeasures: napping and rest breaks

In the recently conducted Need for Sleep (NFS) study (Lo et al., 2015), we monitored 57 adolescent volunteers as they underwent a 2-week partial SR protocol while living in a school dormitory, and found that reduced sleep resulted in impairments in a number of cognitive functions, including speed of processing and sustained attention. These results motivated us to investigate the effects of a common countermeasure used by adolescents against sleepiness—daytime napping. Studies have found that naps are taken by a substantial proportion of adolescents in North America (31%; National Sleep Foundation, 2006), Australia (32%; Gradisar et al., 2008) and Asia (38.7%; Mak et al., 2012), and are not restricted to the weekends (Gradisar et al., 2008). Despite this, little is known about the effects of napping on cognitive performance in this population.

In addition to napping, short rest breaks during long periods of work are a ubiquitous and commonsensical way of dissipating cognitive fatigue. A review by Tucker (2003) concluded that rest breaks are generally beneficial in workplace settings in relieving subjective feelings of fatigue and reducing the risk of accidents and errors. This finding is also borne out in laboratory tests using controlled computerized paradigms (Helton and Russell, 2015; Lim and Kwok, 2016) where rest breaks interrupt the trajectory of TOT declines. In educational settings, Sievertsen et al. (2016) studied a large cohort of Danish students and found that test performance improved significantly following 20–30-min breaks during the school day. The balance of evidence is thus that rest pauses have positive effects on cognitive functioning, at least in the short term.

The principal aim of the current experiment was to investigate the independent and interactive effects of napping and rest breaks on the dynamics of processing speed during SR. To accomplish this, we employed a modified version of the Symbol-Digit Modality Test (Smith, 1982), the Blocked Symbol Decoding Task (BSDT; Lim et al., 2016), which contains interleaved work and rest periods. We have previously demonstrated that performance on this task closely mirrors findings obtained using longer sustained attention paradigms. Accordingly, we deployed the BSTD to test the effect of naps on cognition in a group of healthy adolescents who underwent multiple nights of SR.

MATERIALS AND METHODS

Participants

Fifty-seven healthy adolescents (26 female, age = 15–19 years) from the NFS Study 2 (NFS2) participated in this experiment as part of a 15-day live-in study on the effects of napping and SR. Details of this protocol are reported in Lo et al. (2016). The protocol for this study was approved by the Institutional Review Board of the National University of Singapore, and all participants signed written informed consent. Consent was also obtained from the parents or guardians of all participants. Participants were compensated a total of S$1000 for completing the study.

All participants met the following selection criteria: 15–19 years old; healthy; no sleep disorder; body mass index (BMI) ≤30 kg m⁻²; not habitual short-sleepers (where short-sleepers were identified as having an actigraphically estimated average time-in-bed (TIB) of <6 h and no sign of sleep extension for >1 h on weekends); consumption of ≤5 cups of caffeinated beverages a day; and did not travel across >2 time zones 1 month prior to the experiment.

Participants were randomized into the nap and the no-nap group (refer to the ‘Procedure’ section below). These two groups did not differ in age, gender distribution, BMI, habitual consumption of caffeinated beverages, morningness–eveningness preference (Home and Ostberg, 1976), levels of daytime sleepiness (Johns, 1991), symptoms of chronic sleep reduction (Meijer, 2008), and self-reported (Buyssse et al., 1989) and actigraphically assessed sleep parameters during term time (P > 0.12; Table 1).

Procedure

Study participants were housed in a boarding school in Singapore, during which sleep and wake periods were closely controlled and monitored by actigraphy (Actiwatch 2, Philips Respironics, Pittsburgh, PA, USA) at all times, and PSG (SOMNOTouch recorder; SOTNOmedics GmbH, Randersacker, Germany) on selected nights and nap periods. The schedule for this SR protocol is shown in Fig. 1a. After two baseline nights (9 h TIB; 23:00–08:00 hours) for acclimatisation and baseline assessment, participants underwent 5 nights of SR (M1–M5; 5 h TIB; 01:00–06:00 hours), two recovery nights (R1 and R2; 9 h TIB; 23:00–08:00 hours), three further nights of SR (M6–M8; 5 h TIB; 01:00–06:00 hours) and two further nights of recovery sleep (R3 and R4; 9 h TIB; 23:00–08:00 hours). While the nap group received a 1-h nap opportunity in the afternoon (14:00–
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Sequential series of these stimuli. Accuracy and speed were measured using E-Prime 2.0 (Psychology Software Tools, Sharpsburg, PA, USA; Schneider et al., 2012) on identical laptop computers (Acer Aspire E11, Acer, Taipei, Taiwan). Test bouts of the BSDT occurred at the same time every day (18:00 hours) and lasted approximately 12–18 min depending on how quickly the participant was able to perform the task.

As part of a separate test battery (Lo et al., 2016) administered three times a day at 10:00, 15:45 and 20:00 hours, participants completed the Karolinska Sleepiness Scale (KSS; Akerstedt and Gillberg, 1990) to assess their subjective level of sleepiness. Data from this test battery also show that the effects of napping on cognitive function were observed beyond the time of BSDT administration (i.e. in the 20:00 hours tests).

### Parameter extraction
Response time (RT) data from self-paced tasks typically contain a small number of extremely slow responses (RT > 5 SD; Bills, 1931), which can skew the estimates obtained from curve fitting. These RTs were thus removed before further data analysis. In MATLAB R2012A (http://www.mathworks.com), we used linear fits to estimate the effects of TOT within each 150-trial task block for each subject. RT data were smoothed by applying a sliding window over the time series to average RTs over sets of 20 responses. We regressed these against the sequential number of the window in each block to obtain a slope value, an intercept value (predicted RT at the start of the block) and a predicted RT for the end of the block. Based on the latter two variables we calculated the % change in estimated RT from the end of each task block (prior to a break) to the start of the following task block. These six estimates were averaged to obtain a measure of recovery from breaks for each test. The seven slope estimates were averaged to obtain a measure of TOT for each test. Finally, each participant’s median RT was calculated for every test bout they underwent. This analysis approach is similar to what was used in our previous experiments (Lim and Kwok, 2016; Lim et al., 2016).

### Statistical analysis
Statistical analyses were performed using SAS 9.3 (SAS Institute, Cary, NC, USA). A general linear mixed model with PROC MIXED was used to examine the effects of group, day

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table 1 Characteristics of the nap and no-nap groups</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Nap group</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Mean</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Age (years)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gender (% males)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMI (kg m⁻²)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Caffeinated drinks per day</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Morningness–eveningness Questionnaire score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Epworth Sleepiness Scale score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pittsburgh Sleep Quality Index Total score</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shortness of sleep</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Irritation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Loss of energy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sleepiness</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Actigraphy</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIB on weekdays (h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TIB on weekends (h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TST on weekdays (h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TST on weekends (h)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Global score</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| BMI, body mass index; SD, standard deviation; TIB, time-in-bed; TST, total sleep time.
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RESULTS

Sleep parameters

During baseline nights, the nap and no-nap groups had equivalent total sleep time (TST; 496 and 500 min, respectively). In the sleep-restricted nights, the nap group slept less than the no-nap group on 1 night (night M_{2}; 6.6 min less, \( P < 0.05 \)). Details of the nap durations are reported in Lo et al. (2016). In brief, PSG assessment showed that the nap group slept 37–53 min more than the no-nap group each day \( (P < 0.001) \), incurring a lower sleep debt over the course of the protocol. This was corroborated by KSS scores: an independent-samples \( t \)-test of the average KSS from \( M_{1} \) to \( M_{5} \) revealed significantly lower subjective sleepiness levels in the nap group than the no-nap group.
Mixed model analysis of reaction time data revealed a significant effect of DAY ($F_{6,320} = 24.78, P < 0.0001$), indicating that in both groups there was continuous improvement in speed (median RT) over the entire 8-day period (Fig. 2). This was likely due to practice effects. The effect of GROUP ($F_{1,320} = 8.98, P = 0.003$) and the DAY $\times$ GROUP interaction ($F_{6,320} = 2.37, P < 0.03$) were also significant. Specifically, participants who had the nap opportunity were faster and had greater gains due to repeated task exposure over time. Post hoc tests revealed that the difference between study groups was significant on M2 ($P = 0.008$), M4 ($P = 0.0004$), M5 ($P = 0.0002$), and approached significance on M3 ($P = 0.06$; Table S1).

Effects of nap on within-block TOT

We next tested whether participants in the nap and no-nap groups showed different rates of TOT within each 150-trial task block. To accomplish this, we used an identical mixed model as above with mean within-block TOT slope as a dependent variable. In this analysis, we found a significant effect of GROUP ($F_{1,320} = 4.54, P = 0.03$), but no effect of DAY ($F_{6,320} = 1.87, P = 0.08$) and no DAY $\times$ GROUP interaction ($F_{6,320} = 0.94, P = 0.46$; Fig. 3). Participants in the no-nap group tended to have steeper TOT declines within block than those in the nap group. Post hoc tests showed trend-level differences between groups on M2 ($P = 0.06$) and M5 only ($P = 0.08$; Table S2).

DISCUSSION

We investigated the effect of a daily 1-h nap opportunity during multiple nights of SR on the dynamics of task performance in a self-paced symbol-decoding paradigm in adolescents. After controlling for baseline performance, we found that the nap opportunity significantly affected several aspects of performance. Specifically, participants who napped had: (1) faster response speed; (2) greater gains from practice effects; and (3) lower vulnerability to TOT. However, participants who did not receive a nap showed more benefit from the short break opportunities provided between task blocks.
Napping benefits speed of processing

In adults, there is good evidence that performance on speed-of-processing tasks (e.g. the Digit Symbol Substitution Test) is significantly impaired by SR (Banks et al., 2010; Van Dongen et al., 2003) and SD (Pilcher et al., 2007; Tucker et al., 2010), with meta-analysis showing that 24 h of total SD causes impairments with effect sizes in the small to moderate range (Lim and Dinges, 2010). In contrast, studies of children and adolescents have yielded more mixed results, with early studies reporting no effect of SD on processing speed (Carskadon et al., 1981; Randazzo et al., 1998; Sadeh et al., 2003), and others reporting a detrimental effect (Louca and Short, 2014). In a protocol conducted by our laboratory similar to that of the current study (Lo et al., 2015), large and significant deficits were observed on the Symbol-Digit Modalities Test over 7 nights of SR (5 h TIB; Cohen’s $f^2 = 0.72$).

Here, we found that a 1-hour daily nap could partially reverse detrimental effects of SR on processing speed when comparing performance on individual days. Furthermore, across multiple days, we observed that practice gains were greater in the nap group, suggesting that greater sleep pressure hampered these natural improvements. Processing speed correlates with intelligence, creativity and academic ability (Rindermann and Neubauer, 2004), and the present findings may have implications for scholastic test performance.

Napping reduces vulnerability to TOT effects

Despite the relatively short duration of the BSCT, we previously observed robust TOT effects both between and within blocks (Lim and Kwok, 2016). Here, we found support for the hypothesis that napping reduces the severity of these TOT declines, suggesting that the intervention has a prophylactic effect on the accumulation of fatigue during cognitive performance.

Previous research has demonstrated that SD interacts with fatigue to augment the TOT effect (Lim and Dinges, 2008), particularly when cognitive demand is high (Dinges and Powell, 1988; Doran et al., 2001) and during monotonous tasks (Richter et al., 2005). In the current dataset, we show that this effect is reversible: reducing sleep pressure via a daily nap was an effective countermeasure against the detrimental consequences of sleep loss.

In many real-world situations, stable performance over time is critical to success, and TOT effects undermine this stability. For instance, workplace accidents may have a high probability of occurrence only after performance has declined beyond a critical threshold. Tucker et al. (2003) observed that accident risk is reduced directly after a break, but increases in parallel with the duration of work. In the context of academic performance, the ability to sustain performance over time is strongly related to classroom productivity (as measured by the number of math problems completed in a set time; Fosco and Hawk, 2015). These data suggest that naps may be an effective way to maintain subsequent performance at stable supra-threshold levels.

Breaks are less beneficial following nap opportunities

In a previous experiment using the BSCT, we found that the short, inter-block breaks significantly improved performance, with longer breaks associated with greater recovery (Lim et al., 2016). Here, we were interested in whether the difference in sleep pressure between the nap and no-nap groups affected the amount of recovery conferred by these short task pauses. We found that this was the case: participants in the no-nap group showed relatively greater recovery of performance after breaks, even after controlling for the confounding factor of greater TOT declines in this group.

Previous studies have shown that breaks during bouts of SD have a beneficial effect on driving performance (Philip et al., 2006) and subjective sleepiness (Neri et al., 2002). In general, it has been recommended that more rest opportunities should be provided to those who have to work at an adverse circadian phase in order to counteract the effects of heightened TOT and fatigue (Rosa, 1995).

To our knowledge, this is the first study to explore the effects of sleep pressure on the benefits conferred by rest breaks. While the BSCT lacks the face validity of real-world work–rest schedules, we have previously shown its psychometric properties to mirror those of longer-duration tasks (Lim et al., 2016), suggesting that the present pattern of results may still be applicable to operational settings. Interestingly, our results suggest that doubling up on countermeasures – that is, both taking naps and administering additional rest
breaks, may not result in better mitigation of performance deficits in speeded tasks in sleep-restricted persons.

**Limitations**

A limitation of the current study is the lack of a well-rested control group. However, we note that performance on the digit-symbol substitution task in the control group. However, we note that performance on the BSDT task in both the nap and no-nap groups here was worse during the sleep-restricted days, but that napping had some effect in reversing this deficit. Furthermore, our study design reflects the cycle of SR and 'catch-up' recovery sleep that many adolescents already experience, and the performance of the no-nap group may in fact be a valid indication of how processing speed declines over the course of a real school week for many.

**CONCLUSION**

In summary, reductions in homeostatic sleep pressure caused by napping change the dynamics of speed of processing over time. Napping led to better overall performance, as indexed by smaller TOT effects, faster responding and the acceleration of gains from practice. However, rest breaks were more recuperative for those under greater sleep pressure. These results reinforce the suggestion that rest breaks are particularly useful under conditions where SR is unavoidable (e.g. military settings), but ultimately still do not boost performance more than if sleep pressure is relieved through napping, or a full period of normal sleep.

**ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS**

This was not an industry-supported study. Financial support was provided by the National Medical Research Council, Singapore (NMRC/STaR/015/2013) and the Far East Organization. The authors acknowledge the help of Kian Foong Wong and Jesica Tandi in coding the task, and Su Mei Lee and the research assistants of the Cognitive Neuroscience Laboratory (Lydia Teo, Shin Wee Chong, Pearllyne Chong, Wei Shan Cher, Wan Zheng Zhu, Bindiya Raghunath, Nicholas Chee, Karen Sasmita, Xuan Kai Lee, James Teng) in data collection.

**CONFLICTS OF INTEREST**

The authors of this manuscript have no financial conflicts of interest to disclose.

**AUTHOR CONTRIBUTIONS**

JL collected the data; JL and JCL analysed the data. All authors contributed to study design, interpretation of results, and manuscript preparation.

**REFERENCES**


Fosco, W. D. and Hawk, L. W., Jr. Relating lab to life: decrements in attention over time predict math productivity among children with ADHD. *Child Neuropsychol.*, In press.


**SUPPORTING INFORMATION**

Additional Supporting Information may be found online in the supporting information tab for this article:

- **Table S1.** Raw and estimated values for median reaction times (RT).
- **Table S2.** Raw and estimated values for time-on-task slopes within task blocks.
- **Table S3.** Raw and estimated values for the average amount of recovery (% decrease/improvement in reaction time) in each testing session.